## Original Do Not Removy BEFORE THE POLLETION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE MATTER OF: PROPOSED DETERMINATION OF NO ) No. PCB 78-61 POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL DAMAGE 5 FOR THE QUAD CITIES GENERATING ) STATION. 6 July 19, 1978 10:00 a.m. 8 9 BEFORE: HEARING OFFICER ALAN B. MILLER. 10 The hearing in the above-entitled matter convened pursuant to notice at Suite 300, 309 West 11 Washington Street, Chicago, Illinois. 12 PRESENT: 13 MESSRS. ISHAM, LINCOLN & BEALE, by: 14 MR. A. DANIEL FELDMAN, 15 appeared on behalf of the Petitioner; 16 HONORABLE WILLIAM J. SCOTT, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, by: 17 MR. RUSSELL R. EGGERT, Assistant Attorney General, 18 appeared on behalf of the Illinois 19 Environmental Protection Agency. 20 ALSO PRESENT: 21 MR. HAROLD BERNHARDT, MS. ANN SELKOVITZ. 22 23 24

l					: ! !
1		T N D E X			I
2	WITNESS		DX	<u>C X</u>	RDX RCX
3	THOMAS E. HEMMINGER				,
.1,	By Mr. Feldman By Mr. Eggert		9	10	
5	WILLIAM W. SAYRE			1.0	The second of th
6			1.0		Example: V · v
7	By Mr. Feldman		1 2 1 5		5 FC 1
8	By Mr. Eggert			16	
9	DONALD B. McDONALD				:
10	By Mr. Feldman By Mr. Eggert		18	20	, ,
!1	WILLIAM M. LEWIS				4 
12	By Mr. Feldman		26		
13					
14	E	<u>X II I B I I</u>	S		
15	Joint Number		MARI	KED	RECEIVED
16	No. 1		6		6
17					
18	Petitioner's Number				
19	No. 1		10		1.0
20	No. 2		15		15
21	No. 3		20		20
22	No. 4		2.7		27
23					
24					

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates Chicago, Illinois & 782-8087 HEARING OFFICER MILTER: Appearing and present for Commonwealth Edison is Mr. A. Darill Feldman, and for the Agency is Mr. Russell R. Eggert. And my name is Alan B. Miller, the Hearing Officer.

Mr. Feldman, there is no problem with the procedure that you suggested before we went on the record as long as Mr. Eggert has no objection, and he said he did not. But, to begin with, why don't you make a brief statement for the record in the nature of an opening statement and set the stage so that whoever is on the Board reading the transcript will not have to refer back to this file or back to that other file.

1)

MR. FELDMAN: It is a proceeding filed by

Commonwealth Edison under Rule 203(i)(5) of Chapter 3

of the Board's Rules and Regulations. That Rule

requires a showing five years after a plant has gone
into operation or five years after the regulation

has gone into effect -- and in the case of Quad Cities,
it's five years after the plant is in operation -- with
respect to the likelihood of ecological damage resulting from the heated water discharge from the plant's
cooling water system.

The Company's witnesses today are Mr. Thomas Hemminger, who is an employee of Commonwealth Edison

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois © 782-8087

and who has a sort of background statement outlining the studies the Company has conducted during the last five years.

And three gentlemen who have had extensive contact with the Quad Cities Station and the biological studies of its operation. First is Dr. William Sayre, S-a-y-r-e, from the University of Iowa who has appeared before the Board in other Quad Cities proceedings and who with Dr. Jack Kennedy from the University of Iowa is responsible for the design of the diffuser discharge pipe in the Mississippi River.

Second is Dr. Donald B. McDonald of the University of Iowa who is a Biologist who has testified before this Board in earlier Quad Cities proceedings and whose \*estimony will cover, in general, the five years of biological monitoring.

The third is Dr. William Lewis, Southern

Illinois University, whose specialty is fish biology
and who will testify as to the general findings,

particularly with respect to fish, of the affect of
the heated water discharge at Quad Cities.

HEARING OFFICER MILLER: Okay. Mr. Eggert, would you give us a little statement on behalf of the Agency?

MR. EGGERT: I have simply two observations to

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois • 782-8087

make, one of which is the Agency is not contesting the sufficiency of the showings in this case. We do not think that there will be, has been, or is likely to be significant ecological dumage from the Quad Cities Station based upon the evidence which has been gathered thus far, and we will not be presenting any testimony today.

My second observation, and it is only that, is that in the pleadings as they have been filed thus far, there is reference, and in fact, there is a copy of a 1972 agreement between Commonwealth Edison and the Illinois Attorney General and various other parties concerning the operation of the Quad Cities plant and thermal discharge from it. My only observations, while I think we would take issue with the characterization of that agreement which was set forth in Commonwealth Edison's report, I really don't think that it's at issue here, and I think that the meaning and application of that 1972 agreement will be resolved at some other time in some other forum and not in this proceeding.

And, indeed, 'think we will be submitting a stipulation to that effect.

MR. FELDMAN: Why don't we put that in the record now so the Board can see it early on?

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois @ 782-8087

3 MR. EGGERT: We have a Stipulation which has been signed by counsel for both parties. 3 (WHEREUPON, the document was tendered to the Hearing Officer.) 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. The Stipulation which you have handed me will be marked as Joint Exhibit No. 1 and will be entered into the record. (WHEREUPON, said document was marked 9 Joint Exhibit No. 1, and received in 10 evidence.) 11 THE BEARING OFFICER: All right. Do you have anything else to add in response to that? 13 MR. FELDMAN: Nothing at all, Mr. Hearing Officer, 14 and we're prepared to call our first witness. 15 I have two procedural questions, if I might, 16 before I call the first witness. They are: In Dr. 17 Sayre's testimony, there are both figures and tables. 18 I can convert all of those to Edison Exhibits 1 through 19 -- but I think it's sort of an exercise which only 20 messes up the record. If you have no objection, I 21 will be unlawyerlike and ask they be admitted as 22 figures and tables, just as if this were an academic 23 session rather than a formal hearing. I also -- I have no particular preference

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 8 782-8087

about the form of the record. I can ask the Court
Reporter to copy the testimony, recopy it on her
machine and copy it into the transcript. It's not
terribly long. On the other hand, I can supply copies
and bind the pre-written copies of the testimony into
the record and not reproduce it. It's largely a matter
of how you like the record to look.

THE HEARING OFFICER: As I recall, in the proceedings, the expense is the Petitioner's.

MR. FELDMAN: And Edison always looks to save money.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I'm sure the decision-maker will take judicial notice of that statement.

I don't see any problem with just appending the typewritten copies of the testimony into the record to save time and money. What I will ask is, just to obviate the possibility of any confusion and there being many sets of copies, the originals that will go with the original transcript -- I want the witnesses to initial all these pages of these.

MR. FELDMAN: Okay. I have a written copy, and I will have that done after the hearing.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Fine. I think we're ready to proceed. Call your first witness.

1 Before we went on the record, counsel for Petitioner and the Agency agreed that in the absence of any members of the public, the witnesses will be sworn and introduced and then will offer, or there will be offered, the typewritten statements of the witnesses to the Board in lieu of their reading the statements out loud. Lot me ask, at this time, are there any members of the public present? 10 I see none, and no one has responded to that question. We will proceed on this basis: If someone 11 does show up, then, I think, from that point forward, 12 we would be reading into the record orally the testi-13 mony. 14 Mr. Feldman, call your first witness, please. 15 MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Hemminger. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you be so kind as to 17 swear the first witness, please? 18 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.) 19 THOMAS E. HEMMINGER, 20 called as a witness herein by the Petitioner, having 21 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 22 follows: 23 24

## DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDMAN: 3 Mr. Hemminger, I show you four typewritten pages which have been marked as the "Statement of 5 Mr. Thomas E. Hemminger in Supprt of the Proposed Determination of no Significant Ecological Damage for Quad Cities Station," and they are dated July 19, 1978, and ask if those pages were prepared by you and whether they are your testimony today? 10 (WHEREUPON, the document was 11 tendered to the witness.) BY THE WITNESS: 12 13 Yes, they are. MR. FELDMAN: I would ask, Mr. Horring Officer, 14 that the four pages Mr. Hemminger has identified be 15 bound into the record as his direct testimony. 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Hemminger, will you 17 state your name? 18 THE WITNESS: Thomas E. Hemminger. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Where do you reside? 20 THE WITNESS: In the State of Illinois, in New 21

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 6 782-8087

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's enough of

Lenox.

that.

22

23

24

)	Do you have any comments, objections, or
2	questions?
3	MR. EGGERT: I have no objection to binding his
4	prepared testimony into the record. I do have a couple
5	of questions on cross-examination.
6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Fine. The typewritten
7	testimony of Mr. Hemminger
8	Hemminger?
9	THE WITNESS: Hemminger.
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Hemminger will be
11	accepted into the record. We will refer to it for
12	purposes of identification as Petitioner's Exhibit
13	No. 1.
14	Would you be kind enough to initial all the
15	pages on the bottom?
16	(WHEREUPON, the document was
17	tendered to the witness.)
18	(WHEREUPON, said document was marked
19	Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1, and received
20	in evidence.)
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert, proceed.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. EGGERT:
24	Q Mr. Hemminger, in your prepared testimony,

} there is a reference to high velocity discharge of cooling water through the diffuser ports. It's in the second paragraph of your prepared testimony. 4 Is the velocity of water discharged through 5 the diffuser parts greater than the normal river 6 velocity? Yes, it is. 2. 8 And other factors being equal, what would happen to the level of suspended solids carried by a i0river when the velocity increases? 11 When the velocity of the river increases, 12 the suspended solids loading may increase. Has Edison undertaken any studies of whether 13 this, in fact, occurs or does not occur at Quad Cities 14 15 when the water is discharged out the diffuser parts? We've conducted chemical water studies at 16 the Quad Cities Station. 17 Have you studied the parameters of the 18 level of suspended solids? 19 Yes, I believe we have. 20 What were the results of the studies? 21 I'm not aware of any significant change in 22 Λ the level of suspended solids loading in the Mississippi 23 River upstream or downstream of the Station. 24

i					
)	MR. EGGERT: No further questions.				
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Feldman, do you have				
3	any?				
4	MR. FELDMAN: You are excused, Mr. Hemminger.				
5	Mr. Hearing Officer, the second witness is				
6	Dr. William Sayre.				
?	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Sayre, will you come				
8	forward, please?				
9	(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)				
10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you state your name,				
11	for the record, please?				
12					
	THE WITNESS: William W. Sayre.				
13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Where do you reside?				
14	THE WITNESS: Iowa City, lowa.				
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.				
16	WILLIAM W. SAYRE,				
17	called as a witness herein by the Petitioner, having				
18	been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as				
19	follows:				
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
21	BY MR. FELDMAN:				
22	Q Dr. Sayre, I show you a document consisting				
23	of three pages of typewritten testimony and ten pages				
24	of figures and tables relating to that testimony, as				

```
well as a single-page resume, all of which have been
1
    entitled "Hydraulic and Thermal Performance of Diffuser
2
    Pipe System, Quad Cities Power Station, by William W.
3
    Sayre," dated July 19, 1978.
5
              Let me ask you about it in pieces.
              Was the testimony on the first three pages
6
    prepared by you?
                    (WHEREUPON, the document was
8
                    tendered to the witness.)
9
    BY THE WITNESS:
10
              Yes, it was.
11
    BY MR. FELDMAN:
12
              Is it as true and correct today as it was
13
    when you prepared it?
14
              Yes, it is.
15
              Let me refer you to the next ten pages which
16
    consist of figures labeled Fig. 1 through Fig. 7 and
17
    Table 1 and ask you if each of those figures and that
18
    table were prepared by you or under your supervision
19
    or direction?
20
                    (WHEREUPON, the document was
21
                    tendered to the witness.)
22
    BY THE WITNESS:
23
              Yes, they were.
^{24}
```

BY MR. FELDMAN: And the resume which comprises the last page 3 is that resume of your prior experience? Yes. That's me. MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would ask that the three pages of testimony and the resume be 7 bound into the record, and that the Exhibits which are 8 labeled Fig. 1 through 7 and Table 1 be admitted as Exhibits in this proceeding. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert? 11 MR. EGGERT: No objection. 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. 13 MR. FELDMAN: Dr. Sayre is available for crossexamination. 14 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Dr. Sayre, would you initial each page at the bottom? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Any particular place? THE HEARING OFFICER: At the bottom. 18 19 (WHEREUPON, the document was tendered to the witness.) 20 THE HEARING OFFICER: The report entitled "Hydraulic 21 and Thermal Performance of Diffuser Pipe System, Quad 22 Cities Power Station, by William W. Sayre," is hereby 23 admitted into and will be bound in the record of this 24

proceeding as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2. (WHEREUPON, said document was marked 3 Petitioner's Exhibit No. 2, and received in evidence.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert --6 MR. EGGERT: No cross-examination. THE HEARING OFFICER: -- any cross-examination? MR. EGGERT: No cross-examination. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Feldman? 10 MR. FELDMAN: It's very hard to go outside the 13 scope of cross without the cross, but I have one question, if you will indulge me. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) BY MR. FELDMAN: Given the full plant load of the diffuser 15 pipe in the Mississippi and the average velocity of the Mississippi River, can you give us an estimate of 17 how much the operation of the diffuser pipe at full 18 19 plant load would have increased the velocity of the river at a point, say, 500 feet downstream of the diffuser pipe? Well, it would be by a very small amount. You'd have to compute the added momentum of the plant 23flow and add it to the river velocity. I'd have to go

through and do calculations to do that.

But, I think, it's safe to say that it would be difficult to pick it up by measure. It would just be a few percent increase or less than 5 percent.

MR. FELDMAN: Nothing further.

THE WITNESS: And this would just be a local increase in velocity, general increase.

MR. EGGERT: If I may, this has provoked me to want to ask a couple of questions of this witness. I may have been asking questions of the wrong witness before.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. EGGERT:

Ĭ

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

23

24

Q Assuming that the discharge of water out the diffuser ports is a greater velocity than the normal velocity of the river, would there be within the near field from the diffuser pipe -- say, within the first 50 to 100 feet, would there be any increase in the amount of suspended solids which would be carried?

A We considered this at the time that the model sites for the diffuser pipes were done, one of the considerations in which we based the 20 percent upward angle of the diffuser ports. We conducted a series of tests in which we sprinkled sand particles

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 8 782-8089

} around the bed of the plume and found that the -- for the design discharge conditions, there was minimal movement of the sand particles on the bed of the plume when we had the jets inclined at that angle, a minimal disturbance at the bed of the river, and almost a minimal disturbance at the water surface. Since the time that the design studies were 8 done, have there been any studies done on the river itself? 10 To my knowledge, no. Any influence that 11 this might have been on the suspended solids -- is that 12 what you're asking -- upstream and downstream? 13 Yes. 14 To my knowledge, no. MR. EGGERT: No further questions. 15 MR. FELDMAN: No redirect. 16 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. are excused. 18 19 Mr. Feldman, would you like to call your 20 next witness? MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Donald B. McDonald. 21 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.) 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you state your name 23 and address for the record? 24

1 THE WITNESS: Donald B. McDonald, Iowa City, Iowa. THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead, Mr. Feldman. 3 DONALD B. MCDONALD. 4 called as a witness herein by the Petitioner, having 5 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDMAN: Dr. McDonald, I show you a document consist-10 ing of a cover page entitled "Testimony of Donald B. 11 McDonald regarding Ecological Effects of Operation of 12 the Quad Cities Station, Before the Illinois Pollution 13 Control Board," dated July 19, 1978, consisting of 14 six typewritten pages, having appended thereto a 15 resume of your experience which is five pages long, 16 and ask you if that document was prepared by you? 17 (WHEREUPON, the document was 18 tendered to the witness.) 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 Yes, sir, it was. 21 BY MR. FELDMAN: 22 Is that the testimony which you would give 23 in this proceeding today if you were to be orally

examined?

Yes, it is. MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I would ask 3 that the Court Reporter mark this document of Dr. 4 McDonald's Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3 and that the 5 testimony and resume of Dr. McDonald be so marked and 6 be admitted as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert? 8 MR. EGGERT: I have a couple of questions for 9 this witness. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you have any objections? 11 MR. EGGERT: No objection. I'm sorry. THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Have Dr. 12 McDonald initial at the bottom each page of that 13 14 Exhibit, please. (WHEREUPON, the document was 15 tendered to the witness.) 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: The "Testimony of Donald B. 17 McDonald regarding Ecological Effects of Operation of 18 the Quad Cities Station," the typewritten testimony 19 dated July 19, 1978 and initialed by Dr. McDonald is 20 hereby admitted and will be bound in the record as 21 Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3. 22 Would you, please, mark this accordingly? 23

24

1 (WHEREUPON, said document was marked 2 Petitioner's Exhibit No. 3, and received 3 in evidence. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert, you may cross-5 examine. б MR. EGGERT: Thank you. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. EGGERT: In the course of your study of the effects 10 on the fish and lower biota population in the river 11 from the Quad Cities Station, during portions of the 12 time period that this study was undertaken, the plant 13 was operating either partially or completely on an 14 open cycle mode, was it not? 15 Yes, sir. 16 When the plant is operated partially or com-17 pletely open cycle as opposed to closed cycle on the 18 spray canal, more water is withdrawn from the 19 Mississippi for cooling purposes than there purportedly is in the closed cycle? 21 That is correct. 22 Is there any difference in the degree of

entrainment or impingement on the biota population

when the plant is withdrawing more water from the

] Mississippi as opposed to when it's withdrawing very 2 little or none? Obviously, since you would entrain a larger amount of water, you would entrain more 5 organisms during open cycle operation. There is impingement, but it's not directly proportional as in the case of entrainment. Have you done any measure or calculations 9 of the differences in entrainment with the plant 10 operating open or partially open cycle as opposed to 11 closed cycle? Λ Yes, we have. 12 What were the results of that operation? 13 We did find a higher percentage of the river 14 organisms being entrained during open cycle than during 15 closed cycle. However, the percentage entrained was 16 not as great as that of impingement; was not sufficient 17 to affect the ecology of the river downstream from the 18 19 station. 20 O What percentage was entrained? What did you find? 21 Well, this would vary. 22 Under varying modes, of course. Q 23It would depend, of course, on the amount of A 24

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates.
Chicago, Illinois 6 782-8087

water being pumped through the plant, and also, of course, on the river flow. Probably, the greatest period occurred during low flow periods during the late summer and fall of '76 and '77 when the river was below seven day ten-year low flow. I can't recall the exact percentages that were present at that time, but the high values, high percentage values, occurred in this short period of time.

Normally, under average flow conditions and concentrations, the percentage of the river flow that goes through the plant is quite low.

Q Is the aquatic biota population evenly distributed across the river?

A No. There you get a patchy distribution of all of the floating organisms throughout the river.

Q And is there any general pattern with the distribution of population at the point of the Quad Cities Station?

A In the course of the biological studies of phytoplankton and zooplankton populations, then we simply saw what you might say is a random distribution, a patchy distribution. In the case of floating fish larvae, we did find a somewhat higher concentration along the Illinois shore.

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 8 782-8087

That's along the side which the Quad Cities  $\odot$ Station is located on? That is correct. Did you find any variation in the distribu-5 tion of fish or larval fish in the river during different periods of the year? 7 Yes, sir. Very definitely. You get --8 depending on the species of fish, you get spotting and 9 drifting of the larvae in various periods of time. 10 Like sada, for example, have the highest percentage 11 in the drift in early spring. Another is the drum 12 who spawn pretty much through to summer periods and 13 have peaks of drift through the summer and extendel 14 periods of drift, where others have very short periods 15 of drift. 16 And would the organisms having extended 17 periods of drift, particularly those throughout the 18 summer -- would those tend to congregate through the 19 eastern bank of the river? 20 A As I recall, yes. In the case of the drum 21 larvae, I believe, yes. We found a greater density of 22 these along the Illinois shore. 23 MR. EGGERT: Thank you. No further questions. 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Feldman, do you have any

)	further questions?	
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
3	BY MR. FELDMAN:	
4	Q What's the dominant fish species in that	
5	pool of the Mississippi River?	
6	A Gizzard shad.	
7	Q By total weight or total numbers, what	
8	percentage of the entrained fish what percentage	
9	of the fish ontrained by the Station's operation are	
10	comprised of gizzard shad?	
11	A Entrained? I don't recall right now.	
12	Q Is it in excess of 75 percent?	
13	A Entrained yes, sir, T believe it is.	
14	MR. FELDMAN: I have nothing further.	
15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Dr. McDonald, would you	
16	define for us entrainment?	
17	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Entrainment occurs when	
18	water from the river passes through the condensors of	
19	the plant, and entrained organisms then would be the	
20	organisms in the water which pass through the plant's	
21	cooling system.	
22	THE HEARING OFFICER: What happens to the	
23	organisms during the voyage?	
24	THE WITNESS: It varies depending on the type of	

1 organism, on the temperature of the water, both the increase in temperature that they are subjected to and 3 the maximum temperature. Hanv organisms survive entrainment, especially during lower temperature 5 periods. Others are killed, and generally, phytoplank-6 ton and zooplankton survive quite well at lower 7 temperatures. Fish larvae tend to be killed due 8 largely to the mechanical action. THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you define impingement? 10 THE WITNESS: Impingement occurs when larger 11 organisms, chiefly fish, are trapped on the traveling 12 screens that prevent the entrance of debris into the 13 traveling plant. 14 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, You may step 15 down. 16 Your next witness? 17 MR. FELDMAN: This is the last witness, Dr. 18 William Lewis. 19 THE HUARING OFFICER: Step forward, please. 20 Would you swear in the witness, please? 21 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn, ) 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Would you state your name 23 and address for the record, please? 24 THE WITNESS: William M. Lewis, Carbondale,

1 Illinois. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. . ldman, go ahead. 3 WILLIAM M. LEWIS. 4 called as a witness herein by the Petitioner, having 5 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FELDMAN: 8 Dr. Lewis, I snow you a document which is 10 entitled "Testimony by Dr. William M. Lewis Before the Illinois Pollution Control Board in the Matter of 11 Proposed Determination of No Significant Ecological 12 Damage for the Quad Cities Generating Station," which 13 should bear but does not the date of July 19, 1978, 14 consisting of four pages of testimony and 17 pages of 15 16 Curriculum Vitae and ask you if that document was 17 prepared by you? (WHEREUPON, the document was 18 tendered to the witness.) 19 BY THE WITNESS: 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 BY MR. FELDMAN: 22 And is that the testimony which you would 23 give in this proceeding if it were to be given orally?

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 8 782-8089

1 Yes, sir. MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I ask that the document be marked Petitioner's Exhibit 4 and that the testimony and the attached Curriculum Vitae be admitted 5 into evidence as Exhibit 4. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert, do you have any objection? MR. EGGERT: No objection. THE HEARING OFFICER: Dr. Lewis, if you would be 10 so kind as to initial each of the pages of this at 11 the bottom, and why don't you also date it under the 12 heading with today's date, July 19, 1978. (WHEREUPON, the document was 13 tendered to the witness.) 14 ١5 THE HEARING OFFICER: The document which has just been referred to as the "Testimony by Dr. William M. 16 Lewis Before the Illinois Pollution Control Board," 17 et cetera, dated July 19, 1978 and initialed at the 18 19 bottom, each page by Dr. Lewis, is hereby admitted as Petitioner's Exhibit No. 4. Will the Court Reporter 20 21 please mark that. (WHEREUPON, said document was marked 22 Petitioner's Exhibit No. 4, and received 23 in evidence.) 24

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert, do you have any 2 cross-examination? 3 MR. EGGERT: No cross-examination. MR. FELDMAN: I'm not sure that the record shows 5 the admission of that testimony into the record, б Mr. Hearing Officer. THE HEARING OFFICER: I think I did, but I'll say 8 it again, if you like. What has been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 10 No. 4 is hereby admitted into the record and will be 11 bound with it. 12 Okay. Anything further? 13 MR. FELDMAN: I have nothing further, Mr. Hearing 14 Officer. 15 HEARING OFFICER MILLER: Would you care to make a 16 brief closing statement which might include what 17 Petitioner is seeking? 18 The proceeding, Mr. Hearing Officer, MR. FELDMAN: 19 filed under Rule 203(i)(5) is presented, as I can 20 conceive it, chiefly for the education of the Pollution 21 Control Board; that is, it's a proceeding to determine 22whether the predictions made by a variety of witnesses 23 some six years ago in proceedings before this Board have, in fact, been borne out by the evidence which has

been gathered in the succeeding six years. I think the net of the testimony that the predictions have turned out to be correct. I suspect, however, that we ought to detail that. We ought to state that in somewhat greater det'l than I am able to do at the moment. It may well be wise, depending on what the Attorney General's desires are, to arrange a briefing schedule so we can summarize the record for the Pollution Control Board 10 by an index of what we found. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Eggert? MR. EGGERT: Could we pursue this discussion 12 off the record for a moment? 13 THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. Off the record. (WHEREUPON, discussion was had 15 off the record.) 16 MR. FELDMAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I think, 17 perhaps, I have overdone the situation, that Edison 18 ought not to file a brief in this situation, especially 19 in view of the fact that the Petition itself or 20 Petition for Determination under Rule 203 comes in the form prescribed by the Board procedural rules and 22

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois 9 782-8087

dat which these witnesses reviewed in preparing their

serves as a convenient summary of the underlying

23

3 testimony, and perhaps then the Petition can serve as sort of an index to the conclusions expressed in this record. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. I regret if I put you on the spot for a closing statement. Anything further, Mr. Eggert? MR. EGGERT: I have nothing. THE HEARING OFFICER: I notice two persons 9 besides counsel not witnesses, and I would like to get 10 their names. MR. BERNHARDT: Harry Bernhardt. 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: And Mr. Bernhardt, who do 12 13 you work for? 14 MR. BERNHARDT: Commonwealth Edison. MS. SELKOVITZ: Ann Selkovitz, S-e-l-k-o-v-i-t-z. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: What is your role here 16 today? 17 MS. SELKOVITZ: Paralegal for Mr. Feldman. 18 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: There being nothing further offered by either counsel and no other members of the 20 public having showed up, this hearing is closed. 21 (WHICH WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS HAD AT 22 THE HEARING OF THE AFORE-ENTITLED CAUSE 23

24

ON THIS DATE, JULY 19, 1978.)

STATE OF ILLINOIS ) COUNTY OF C O O K ) I, PATRICIA M. McCORMICK, a Certified 3 Shorthand Reporter of the State of Illinois, do hereby 4 certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings had at the hearing aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true, complete and correct transcript of the 7 proceedings of said hearing as appears from my steno-8 graphic notes so taken and transcribed under my 9 personal direction. 10 11 Patience The Corneil C.S. R. Certified Shorthand Reporter 12 13 C.S.R. Certificate No. 84-1345. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Wolfe, Rosenberg and Associates
Chicago, Illinois • 782-8087